EMPLOYMENT LAW

WHISTLEBLOWER RETALIATION Retaliation - False Claims Act

Verdict: \$1,505,561

CASE/NUMBER: David Lillie v. Mantech Int'l Corp., et al. / 2:17-cv-02538-CAS-SS

COURT/DATE: USDC Central / Feb. 28, 2019

JUDGE: Christina A. Snyder

ATTORNEYS:

Plaintiff - Jan T. Aune (The Law Office of Jan T. Aune)

Defendant - Jina Lee, Alison N. Davis (Littler Mendelson PC)

FACTS: David Lillie filed suit against his former employer ManTech International Corp. in relation to his termination.

PLAINTIFF'S CONTENTIONS: Lillie claimed he was illegally terminated in retaliation after he reported to ManTech that an employee of the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory provided him with unauthorized access to confidential information of a third-party government contractor. Lillie alleged violations of the False Claims Act and retaliation in violation of the California Labor Code section 1102.5 for whistleblower retaliation.

DEFENDANTS' CONTENTIONS: Defendants denied the allegations.

RESULT: The jury returned a verdict for Lillie totaling roughly \$1.5 million. Pursuant to the verdict, Lillie shall receive \$521,983 in past lost earnings, \$339,828 in future lost earnings, \$321,875 in past emotional distress

See Page 6 — VERDICTS

PAGE 6 • FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 2019

Continued from page 5

damages, and \$321,875 in future emotional distress damages.

OTHER INFORMATION: Relief from retaliatory actions, under the False Claims Act Section 3730(h) (2), plaintiff has a right to two times the amount of back pay and interest on the back pay. Plaintiff is seeking an additional \$521,983 plus interest on the back pay from Feb. 6, 2015 until the judgment is entered.

In addition, plaintiff has a right to attorney fees under the False Claims Act, the Defense Contractors Whistleblower Protection Act, and California Labor Code Section 1102.5, according to plaintiff.

FILING DATE: Apr. 3, 2017

EMPLOYMENT LAW